Mr. H. V. Rangaswami suggested that assessment is the Belur and Manjarabad taluks and the Alur sub-taluk might be reduced as it was heavy.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 73.

Permanent Survey Settlement.

Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao, Chikmagalur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Permanent settlement may be introduced as in Bengal, the present rates being confirmed. This will reduce the work of Government and enable it to abolish the Survey and Settlement Department. It will also be convenient to the raiyats.

Reply of Government.—Not possible.

SUBJECT No. 74.

Special Notice Register.

Mr. G. K. Padmarajaiya, Channarayapatna Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—An entry in the Special Notice Register of the name of a person having interest in a piece of land is effective only for five years. The period may be extended to twelve years.

Reply of Government.—Not necessary.

SUBJECT No. 75.

Permanent preservation of *Khirdi* Accounts.

Messrs. Puttanarasayya, Bangalore Taluk, Venkatappa, Venkataramane Gowda and Siddaiya, Kankanhalli Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Under the existing orders, the village *Khirdi* accounts are destroyed after twenty years. As these accounts are very useful for purposes of reference they may be preserved permanently or a new column opened in the *Khate* to show the name of the person who pays the kandayam.

Reply of Government.—Noted. Whether a record of rights may be maintained as in Bombay is under investigation.

SUBJECT No. 76.

Land Revenue receipt books.

Mr. Krishnamurtiachar, Mulbagal Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Receipt books may be printed in triplicate and receipts granted for all collections. This will ensure proper remittance of the amount to the treasury.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 77.

Receipt of Kandayam in all treasuries.

Mr. Karnik Krishnamurti Rao, Magadi Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Kandayam may be received in any treasury in the State.

Reply of Government.—Not feasible.

SUBJECT No. 78.

Stone *bandhs* on dry lands.

Messrs. Adinaraniah, and Chandrasekhariah, Dodballapur Taluk and S. Puttanna, Dodballapur Municipality.

Note.—Not discussed owing to the absence of the proposers.

SUBJECT No. 79.

Agricultural land within Municipal limits.

Mr. Surappa, Bangalore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The rule relating to the levy of fine for using agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes within the Municipal limits, whether such lands be assigned to the Municipality or not, may be repealed in the interests of Municipal revenue and all such lands may be treated as building sites.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.